


DFT2021 poll

organized by:
marcel swart
f. matthias bickelhaupt
miquel duran



contents

2

origin of the poll connected to a regular visitor to Girona

3

references

the data:

www.marcelswart.eu/dft-poll


The annual popularity poll for density functionals: edition 2021

The 2021 results are in, with a record number of participation: **298 entries!** Perdew's functionals remain at the top of the list (PBE **1**, PBE0 **2**, PBE-D **4**, PBEsol **6**), with ω B97X-D losing speed in the *Primera Divisió* (dropping from number **3** to number **11**). HSE continues its upwards movement, and is the new number **3**, and also PBEsol is slowly moving upwards (now at **6**). LDA continues its oscillatory behavior (now at place **7**), while the Minnesota functionals are losing steam (M06-2X at place **15**, M06 to join M06-L in the *Segona Divisió*). (full results available online)

The following five functionals are promoted to the *Primera Divisió*: SCAN, TPSSh, revTPSS, revTPSS-D, M06 thereby replacing the following five (that relegate to the *Segona Divisió*): BP86, RPA, LC- ω PBE, B2PLYP, BLYP.

There are ten places available for new suggestions to be included..

PBE continues its success



origin of the online popularity poll of density functionals

Following a presentation by Matthias Bickelhaupt (“Hyper-valent versus Nonhypervalent Carbon”, 27. 2. 2009) there was a discussion in Can Paco (the bar at the faculty of Chemistry at the University of Girona). Because the presentation showed the results for quite a number of density functionals, Miquel Duran suggested to take a number of these results, and use appropriate weights for them in order to obtain a “consensus” density functional result. In order to get the weights needed for this procedure, we have held annual online polls where people could indicate their preferences for a number of density functionals. The polls were announced on the CCL list, on Twitter, Facebook, blogs, etc. in order to get the maximum number of participants. The aims of this poll were: (i) to probe the “preference of the community”, i.e., setting up a ranking of preferred DFT methods; and (ii) provide a compilation of the “de facto quality” that this implies for the “average DFT computation”. Note that this poll does not cover everybody, only those who were motivated to take part in the poll and vote. Yet, we feel that the results do provide some insight in current preferences. And interestingly, these preferences do not always match with the best choice in terms of best agreement with accurate reference data.

density functional theory in a nutshell

In 1964, Hohenberg and Kohn published theorems that laid the basis for density functional theory (DFT). Together with the Kohn-Sham scheme published a year later in 1965, these form the basic framework of DFT. In these papers, it was shown that there exists a one-to-one relation between the energy and density, i.e. it is in principle possible to obtain directly the exact energy from the electron density. But, the mathematical formulation that delivers this energy is unknown, although it can be constructed numerically from an exact (accurate) wavefunction for a concrete system. It was not until the 1980s that the first reasonable approximations were proposed. Apart from the Local Density Approximation (LDA), the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA), hybrid functionals containing a portion of *exact* (Hartree-Fock) exchange, meta-GGA functionals, double hybrid functionals, local hybrid functionals, and the hybrid meta-GGA functionals, there are now also the range-separated hybrid functionals.

In 1998, Walter Kohn received the Nobel prize in Chemistry for his work on DFT.



Primera Divisió 2022

B3LYP, B3LYP-D, B97-D, BP86, CAM-B3LYP, HSE, LDA, M06-2X, optB88-vdW, PBE, PBE-D, PBE0 (PBE1PBE), PBEsol, PW91, revPBE, RPA, RPBE, SCAN, SCAN-rVV10, ω B97X-D

Segona Divisió 2022

B2PLYP, B3PW91, B97-3c, B97M-V, BEEF-vdW, BLYP, DSD-PBEP86, LC-PBE, LC- ω PBE, M06, M06-L, PBE- μ MBD, PW6B95, PWPB95-D3, r2SCAN-D4, revTPSS, revTPSS-D, TPSSH, ω B97M-V, ω B97X-V

Suggestions

S12g, 9 additional slots

Send message to marcel.swart@udg.edu for additional suggestions

news-item

DFT2021 poll

reviews/perspectives

www.marcelswart.eu/dft-poll/reviews.html

Send a message to marcel.swart@udg.edu to have new reviews/perspectives included on the list

The aim of the online popularity poll is to probe the preferences of the computational chemistry and physics communities, and compile the quality of the "average" DFT computation.

Comments:

- I do not use those "new suggestions" so cannot comment on them
- Hate almost all of it

Girona, Amsterdam

May 2022

Marcel Swart

ICREA & Univ. Girona
www.marcelswart.eu

Matthias Bickelhaupt

Vrije Univ. Amsterdam &
Radboud Univ., Nijmegen
www.few.vu.nl/~bickel

Miquel Duran

Univ. Girona
www.miquelduran.net

references

- Kohn-Sham:** *Phys. Rev. A* 1965, 140, 1133
- Hohenberg-Kohn:** *Phys. Rev. B* 1964, 136, 864
- APBE:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2011, 106, 186406
- B2PLYP:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 124, 034108
- B3LYP:** *J. Phys. Chem.* 1994, 98, 11623
- B3LYP-D:** *J. Phys. Chem.* 1994, 98, 11623; *J. Comput. Chem.* 2006, 27, 1787
- B3LYP*:** *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2001, 107, 48
- B3PW91:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, 98, 5648
- ω B97X-D:** *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 10, 6615
- B97-D:** *J. Comput. Chem.* 2006, 27, 1787
- BHandH:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, 98, 1372
- BLYP:** *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, 38, 3098; *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, 37, 785
- BP86:** *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, 38, 3098; *Phys. Rev. B* 1986, 33, 8822
- CAM-B3LYP:** *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2004, 393, 51
- DSD-BLYP:** *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2010, 114, 20801
- DSD-PBEP86:** *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 13, 20104
- HSE:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 118, 8207
- KT1:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 119, 3015
- LB94:** *Phys. Rev. A* 1994, 49, 2421
- LC- ω PBE:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 125, 234109
- LC-PBE:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2007, 126, 154105
- LDA:** *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A* 1929, 123, 714; *Phys. Rev.* 1951, 81, 385; *Can. J. Phys.* 1980, 58, 1200; *Phys. Rev. B* 1992, 45, 13244
- M05:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 123, 161103
- M05-2X:** *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2006, 2, 364
- M06, M06-2X:** *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2008, 120, 215
- M06-L:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 125, 194101
- mPW1K:** *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2000, 104, 4811
- MVS:** *PNAS* 2015, 112, 685
- OLYP:** *Mol. Phys.* 2001, 99, 403; *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, 37, 785
- optB88-vdW:** *J. Phys.-Condens. Mat.* 2010, 22, 022201
- PBE:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865
- PBE0:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 1996, 105, 9982; *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999, 110, 5029; *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999, 110, 6158
- PW6B95:** *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2005, 109, 5656
- PW91:** *Phys. Rev. B* 1992, 46, 6671
- PWPB95-D₃:** *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2011, 7, 291
- revPBE:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1998, 80, 890
- revTPSS, revTPSS-D:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, 103, 026403; $s_6=0.7282$ (revTPSS-D)
- RPA:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 129, 114105
- RPBE:** *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 59, 7413
- S12g, S12h:** *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2013, 580, 166
- SAOP:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2000, 112, 1344
- SCAN:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2015, 115, 036402
- SSB-D:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2009, 131, 094103
- τ -HCTH:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 116, 9559
- TPSSh:** *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2003, 91, 146401; *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 119, 12129
- VSXC:** *J. Chem. Phys.* 1998, 109, 400